REPORT TO THE TRUST BOARD October 2014

Paper Title:	Learning from the Hillsborough Disaster		
Sponsoring Director:	Martin Wilson, Director of Delivery and Improvement (Accountable Emergency Officer)		
Author:	Joel Standing, Emergency Planning and Liaison Officer		
Purpose: The purpose of bringing the report to the board	To delegate to the Accountable Emergency Officer the ability to provide assurances to NHS England in relation to appropriate learning for the Trust following the Hillsborough enquiry		
Action required by the board: What is required of the board – e.g. to note, to approve?	For decision		
Document previously considered by: Name of the committee which has previously considered this paper / proposals	Executive Management Team		

Executive summary

Key points in the report and recommendation to the board

1. Key messages

In 1989, ninety-six people died at the Hillsborough Stadium disaster. In October 2012, the Hillsborough Independent Panel made 12 recommendations for the NHS, of which seven are relevant to providers of NHS funded services (including St George's).

St George's Healthcare NHS Trust has a well-developed approach to emergency preparedness, response and resilience (EPRR). The recommendations from the Hillsborough Independent Panel Report have been considered by the Trust.

This paper confirms that the Trust is fully compliant with the recommendations for the Hillsborough Independent Panel Report.

2. Recommendation

The Trust Board is asked to:

- a. Note the recommendations for NHS providers arising from the Independent Panel into the Hillsborough football disaster
- b. Confirm that the recommendations have been embedded within St George's Healthcare NHS Trust's approach to emergency preparedness, resilience and response.

Key risks identified:

Are there any risks identified in the paper (impact on achieving corporate objectives) – e.g. quality, financial performance, compliance with legislation or regulatory requirements?

Nil.

Related Corporate Objective:		2 – Providing the highest quality local hospital care	
	Reference to corporate objective that this paper refers to.	in the most effective way	
		3 – A comprehensive regional hospital with	

TBR 30.10.14/13

	outstanding outcomes				
Related CQC Standard: Reference to CQC standard that this paper refers to.	6D People who use services benefit from a service that has in place a planned and prepared response to major incident and emergency situations; is aware of and has arrangements in place to respond to any requirements made of the provider by the Civil Contingencies Act 2004; in partnership, practises, monitors and reviews all of the plans that are in place.				
Faviolity Impact Accessment (FIA), Has an FIA been corried out? (No.)					

Equality Impact Assessment (EIA): Has an EIA been carried out? (No) If yes, please provide a summary of the key findings

If no, please explain you reasons for not undertaking and EIA.



Appendix A:

1. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM – INITIAL SCREENING

Headline outcomes for the Equality Delivery System (EDS)

- Better heath outcomes for all
- Improved patient access and experience
- Empowered, engaged and well-supported staff
- Inclusive leadership at all levels

Service/Function/Policy	Directorate / Department	Assessor(s)	New or Existing Service or Policy?	Date of Assessment
				15 Oct 2010

1.1 Who is responsible for this service / function / policy?

Martin Wilson, Director of Delivery and Improvement (Accountable Emergency Officer)

1.2 Describe the purpose of the service / function / policy? Who is it intended to benefit? What are the intended outcomes?

Intended to ensure Trust is compliant with emergency planning guidance.

- **1.3 Are there any associated objectives?** E.g. National Service Frameworks, National Targets, Legislation, Trust strategic objectives
 - Civil Contingencies Act 2004
 - Trust Emergency Planning, Resilience and Response policy
- 1.4 What factors contribute or detract from achieving intended outcomes?
 - Ensuring good organisational understanding and readiness
- 1.5 Does the service / policy / function / have a positive or negative impact in terms of the protected groups under the Equality Act 2010. These are Age, Disability (physical and mental), Gender-reassignment, Marriage and Civil partnership, Pregnancy and maternity, Sex /Gender, Race (inc nationality and ethnicity), Sexual orientation, Region or belief and Human Rights
 - No
- 1.6 If yes, please describe current or planned activities to address the impact.
 - Not applicable
- 1.7 Is there any scope for new measures which would promote equality?
 - No
- 1.8 What are your monitoring arrangements for this policy/ service
 - Regular review by Trust Major Incident Steering Committee
- 1.9 Equality Impact Rating [low, medium, high]
 - Low
- 2.0. Please give your reasons for this rating
 - Recommendations from Inquiry are high level