REPORT TO THE TRUST BOARD AUGUST 2014

Paper Title:	Revalidation & Medical Appraisal Annual Report and Statement of Compliance Ros Given-Wilson		
Sponsoring Director:			
Author:	Claire Low – Medical HR Manager		
Purpose: The purpose of bringing the report to the board	To provide an overview of the elements defined in the Responsible Officer Regulations, and providing the required assurance that we are discharging our respective statutory responsibilities.		
Action required by the board: What is required of the board – e.g. to note, to approve?	For decision Agree to submit an annual statement of compliance to the higher level responsible officers.		
Document previously considered by: Name of the committee which has previously considered this paper / proposals	EMT		

Executive summary

Key points in the report and recommendation to the board

1. Key messages

St George's Healthcare NHS Trust has a prescribed connection to 651 doctors. The appraisal rate for the Trust is currently 86.76%, above the Trust target of 85%. The number of recorded appraisals has increased steadily since Medical Revalidation was introduced in 2012. The current number of recorded Trust Appraisals is 435.

2. Recommendation

The Board are asked to accept this annual report and annual audit. This report will be shared with NHS England along with the quarterly information reports.

The Board are asked to approve the "statement of compliance" confirming that St George's Healthcare NHS Trust, as a designated body, is in compliance with the Revalidation regulations.

Key risks identified:

It is a requirement for all doctors for to undertake yearly strengthened appraisals and to revalidate once every five years in order to keep their licence to practice. The trust is responsible to ensure there is a process in place to ensure that this is achieved.

Related CQC Standard: Reference to CQC standard that this paper refers to. Equality Impact Assessment (EIA): Has an EIA been carried out? (Yes / No) If yes, please provide a summary of the key findings If no, please explain you reasons for not undertaking and EIA.



Appendix A:

1. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM – INITIAL SCREENING

Headline outcomes for the Equality Delivery System (EDS)

- · Better heath outcomes for all
- Improved patient access and experience
- Empowered, engaged and well-supported staff

1.8 What are your monitoring arrangements for this policy/ service

1.9 Equality Impact Rating [low, medium, high]

2.0. Please give your reasons for this rating

Service/Function/Policy	Directorate / Department	Assessor(s)	New or Existing Service or Policy?	Date of Assessment
				15 Oct 2010
1.1 Who is responsible	for this service /	function / police	;y?	
ntended outcomes? 1.3 Are there any assoc strategic objectives	iated objectives	? E.g. National Servic	e Frameworks, National Targe	ts, Legislation , Trus
1.4 What factors contrib	oute or detract fr	om achieving in	ntended outcomes?	
1.4 What factors contrib	oute or detract fr	om achieving ir	ntended outcomes?	
1.4 What factors contrib	oute or detract fr	om achieving ir	ntended outcomes?	
				n terms of the
1.5 Does the service / pe protected groups under	olicy / function / the Equality Ac	have a positive t 2010. These a	or negative impact i re Age, Disability (pl	nysical and
1.5 Does the service / poprotected groups under mental), Gender-reassig	olicy / function / the Equality Acg	have a positive t 2010. These a e and Civil parti	or negative impact i re Age, Disability (pl nership, Pregnancy a	nysical and and maternity,
1.5 Does the service / poprotected groups under mental), Gender-reassig	olicy / function / the Equality Acg	have a positive t 2010. These a e and Civil parti	or negative impact i re Age, Disability (pl nership, Pregnancy a	nysical and and maternity,
1.5 Does the service / poprotected groups under mental), Gender-reassig	olicy / function / the Equality Acg	have a positive t 2010. These a e and Civil parti	or negative impact i re Age, Disability (pl nership, Pregnancy a	nysical and and maternity,
1.5 Does the service / poprotected groups under mental), Gender-reassig	olicy / function / the Equality Acg	have a positive t 2010. These a e and Civil parti	or negative impact i re Age, Disability (pl nership, Pregnancy a	nysical and and maternity,
1.4 What factors contributed the service / posterior protected groups under mental), Gender-reassig Sex /Gender, Race (incontribute) Human Rights	olicy / function / the Equality Acg	have a positive t 2010. These a e and Civil parti	or negative impact i re Age, Disability (pl nership, Pregnancy a	nysical and and maternity,
1.5 Does the service / protected groups under mental), Gender-reassig Sex /Gender, Race (inc	olicy / function / the Equality Acg gnment, Marriage nationality and e	have a positive t 2010. These a e and Civil parti ethnicity), Sexua	e or negative impact i re Age, Disability (pl nership, Pregnancy a al orientation, Region	nysical and and maternity, n or belief and